Steering Committee Guidance for Writing Team

General

- 1. Adapt the ACTFL World-Readiness Standards for Language Learning, such that:
- The five ACTFL goal areas are represented as five Maine Learning Results strands*;
- ACTFL standards are represented as Maine Learning Results standards within the strands; and
- o ACTFL proficiency benchmarks (NCSSFL-ACTFL Can-Do statements) are represented as the Maine Learning Results performance (using generalized reference to learners rather than "I").
 - Align performance expectations with proficiency levels (according to the ACTFL proficiency scale) across the complete span of grades, such that the ultimate proficiency level goal is advanced-low.
 - 3. Provide definitions and framing of each strand and standard, referencing ACTFL definitions.
 - 4. Update terminology to be more reflective of the current approaches to language learning, avoiding words like "imitate."
 - 5. Remove Anglo-centric language and the assumption that English is a student's first language. Decolonize the document to be more reflective of the variety of cultures, languages, and perspectives worldwide.
 - 6. Be consistent with terms and language across the document.
 - 7. Continue to differentiate between modern, classical, and ASL.
 - 8. Include/expand mentions of incorporating technology into the study of world languages and cultures.
 - 9. Continue references to "authentic resources," as defined by ACTFL, throughout the document across grade spans.
 - 10. Use consistent formatting throughout.
 - 11. Emphasize the use of the target language for learning.
 - 12. Provide performance expectations that can be assessed (attention to how they are written, as in ACTFL "I can" statements that would lead to rubric development)

Introduction

- 1. Make connections to the guiding principles.
- 2. Emphasize that different languages require differing amounts of instructional time to reach the same level of proficiency.
- 3. Emphasize the importance of recognizing multiple entry points across the grades and referring to proficiency levels reflective of the grade during which students begin their study of a new language.
- 4. Maintain the essence of "communication" in the study of world languages.
- 5. Focus on communicative and intercultural proficiency.

- 6. Be inclusive of all languages, including those of indigenous cultures.
- 7. Include a reference to contact hours (time-on-task) and developmental level/trajectory. (See this <u>resource</u> from Ohio DOE for guidance.)
- 8. Clarify what proficiency levels are, where they come from, and what the expectations are for programs starting at different points.
- 9. Include text that addresses intercultural communicative competencies.
- 10. Make clear the relevance of learning world languages.
- 11. Acknowledge equity of access issues that may limit expectations of student output in addressing the standards; Expanding equity of access may be a challenge from a budgetary standpoint, and/or societal (parenting) challenges, and/or access to opportunities within their school/community.

Strand A – Communication

- 1. Ground in human and language development
- 2. Emphasize inclusion of cultures
- 3. Emphasize inclusion of non-lexical meaning (e.g. pronunciation, intonation, gestures, non-manual markers)
- 4. Use the term "target language" to open up to all languages
- 5. References to use of idiomatic expressions and authentic grammar could be moved from A4 to A1
- 6. Recognize pragmatic and functional use of World Language
- 7. Embed A4 in others (A1, A2, A3)
- 8. Cultural appropriateness and behaviors need to be clear and consistent across grade spans
- 9. Underline cultural differences
- 10. Handle cultural appropriation sensitively

Strand B - Culture

- 1. Reorganize/align the standards to reflect the ACTFL standards of cultural competence (ACTFL proficiency benchmarks from investigation, interaction)*.
- 2. Be consistent in the language through the strand (e.g., "in the United States", "In one's own culture).
- 3. Provide more clarity in terminology; give attention to clarifying intercultural/multicultural terminology.
- 4. Provide Performance Indicator descriptors for B2.
- 5. Students approach the target language culture from their own cultural background
- 6. Combine B1, B2, and B3.
- 7. Add a Performance Indicator for 9-diploma about the use of the target language/culture through history.
- 8. Add intercultural competence proficiency below the grade spans.
- 8. Include age-appropriate/culturally-appropriate indicators throughout all grade spans.

Strand C - Connections

- 1. Reorganize/align the standards to reflect the ACTFL standards of "interculturality" (ACTFL proficiency benchmarks from investigation, interaction)*.
- 2. Continue connections between target languages and cultures and the students' own language(s) and culture(s).
- 3. Use the specialized terminology of language education, with the expectation that both experienced and new teachers will develop a common understanding.
- 4. Work toward understanding and avoiding biases when using authentic materials*.
- 5. Continue integration of other content areas with the study of world languages, paying more attention to the fact that languages are different and may have different approaches.
- 6. Articulate ways that teachers can use the target language to teach about other content areas with authentic resources.

Strand D - Communities

- 1. Use the ACTFL benchmarks, specifically the intercultural benchmarks, as well as the "communities readiness standards" to reorganize this strand.
- 2. Include/keep opportunities for students to use higher-order thinking skills as they address this standard
- 3. Emphasize the personal connection with the language through personal interests, the people we know friends, family, community, and/or professions
- 4. Keep the idea that students are studying both the culture(s) and the language
- 5. Address the formatting/labeling issue with "D" and "D1" fix that so it is clear

*Note that the Strand names will shift to align with the ACTFL World-Readiness Standards for Learning Languages. The chart below reflects the new Strand organization. The Comparisons Strand will derive its performance expectations from Can-Do Statements that relate to language and culture comparisons.

Strand A: Strand B: Strand C: Strand D: Communication Cultures Connections Comparisons	Strand E: Communities
--	--------------------------